# Qualifying Facilities/Cogeneration Projects in California

STATUS REPORT

James Hendry
Wade McCartney
California Public Utilities Commission

## 1/3 of QF Capacity Under Contract Expires by 2010

#### **Expiring QF Contract Capacity**

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
PG&E QFs	0%	1%	6%	8%	19%	23%
SCE QFs	1%	11%	11%	31%	38%	43%
SDG&E QFs	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Combined QFs	1%	6%	8%	19%	28%	32%

# Current Policy to keep Existing QFs Operating

- Utilities required to sign five-year SO1 contracts with QFs with contracts expiring prior to 2006
- Longer-term contract provides incentives to "encourage efficiency upgrades to existing facilities."
- QFs continue to receive existing Short-run Avoided Cost (SRAC) price until PUC determines new SRAC

### **Available Choices for Expiring QFs**

- 1. Voluntary participation in utility competitive bidding processes
- 2. Renegotiation by the QF and the IOU on a caseby-case basis
- 3. Five-year SO1 contracts

November 7, 2003 4

## Near-Term Policy Actions in Procurement Proceeding

#### **Utilities required to:**

- Show the amount of baseload power provided by QFs;
- Plans to continue meeting those needs with existing/new QF resources;
- Describe how to meet PURPA obligations and allow for longterm QF contracts to facilitate upgrades; and
- Assess the amount of QF capacity that might leave the system...(ACR, R.04-04-003, 6/4/2004, p.10)

## QF Pricing issues being addressed

- PUC developing consistent "avoided cost" methodology (R.04-04-025) for use in all proceedings
- Avoided cost methodology will apply to QFs consistent with federal (PURPA) and state (PU Code 390) requirements
- Goal is to ensure we don't overpay/underpay QFs